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Chapter 1 Supported Platforms

RTI® Security Plugins 6.1.1 is supported on the platforms in Table 1.1 Supported Platforms.

OpenSSL®: All platforms were tested with OpenSSL 1.1.1n unless otherwise noted in the table.

Table 1.1 Supported Platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating System</th>
<th>Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Android™ Available on demand</td>
<td>All platforms listed in the RTI Connext® DDS Core Libraries Release Notes for the same version number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linux®</td>
<td>All platforms listed in the RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries Release Notes for the same version number, except SUSE® Linux Enterprise Server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macOS®</td>
<td>All platforms listed in the RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries Release Notes for the same version number. <em>macOS 11 on Arm v8 requires Rosetta® 2 during installation, not required during runtime.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNX®</td>
<td>All platforms listed in the RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries Release Notes for the same version number, except QNX Neutrino® 6.4.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VxWorks®</td>
<td>VxWorks 7.0 SR0630 (Dynamic loading of Security Plugins not supported in kernel mode.) Tested with OpenSSL from VxWorks 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows®</td>
<td>All platforms listed in the RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries Release Notes for the same version number.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: POSIX®-compliant architectures that end with "FACE_GP" are not supported.

See the RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries Platform Notes for more information.

The Security Plugins are also supported on the platforms in Table 1.2 Custom Supported Platforms; these are target platforms for which RTI offers custom support. If you are interested in these platforms, please contact your local RTI representative or email sales@rti.com.
### Table 1.2 Custom Supported Platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating System</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>RTI Architecture Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>RedHawk™ Linux 6.5 Available on demand</td>
<td>x86</td>
<td>i686RedHawk6.5gcc4.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x64</td>
<td>x86RedHawk6.5gcc4.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind River®Linux 8</td>
<td>Arm v7</td>
<td>armv7aWRLinux8gcc5.2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yocto Project® 2.5</td>
<td>Arm v8</td>
<td>armv8Linux4gcc7.3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNX</td>
<td>QNX 6.6</td>
<td>x86</td>
<td>i686QNX6.6qcc_cpp4.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm v7</td>
<td>armv7aQNX6.6.0qcc_cpp4.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QNX 7.0.4</td>
<td>Arm v7</td>
<td>armv7QNX7.0.0qcc_cpp4.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QNX Neutrino 7.1 tested with wolfSSL® 4.7</td>
<td>Arm v8</td>
<td>armv8QNX7.1qcc_gpp8.3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 2 Compatibility

This release of the Security Plugins includes partial support for the DDS Security specification from the Object Management Group (OMG)\(^1\).

The Security Plugins 6.1.1 are interoperable with Security Plugins 5.2.7 and higher.

Persistence Service databases secured with the Security Plugins 6.1.1 are incompatible with databases generated by versions of Persistence Service older than 6.0.1.

When using the Security Plugins SDK, the required minimum version of CMake is 3.12.

Compatibility with OpenSSL 1.1.1n

Security Plugins 6.1.1 is API-compatible with OpenSSL versions 1.1.0 through 1.1.1n, not with versions earlier than OpenSSL 1.1.0. Note that Security Plugins 6.1.1 has only been tested by RTI using OpenSSL 1.1.1n. If you need Security Plugins 6.1.1 to work with older versions of OpenSSL, please contact support@rti.com.

Compatibility with wolfSSL 4.7

You will need a custom-supported Security Plugins package to use wolfSSL.

The Security Plugins libraries have been tested with wolfSSL 4.7 on the following custom-supported target platform:

- QNX Neutrino 7.1 systems on Arm v8 CPUs (RTI architecture: armv8QNX7.1qcc_gpp8.3.0)

Support for wolfSSL and the Security Plugins on other target architectures is available upon request, please contact your local RTI representative or email sales@rti.com.

---

\(^1\) [http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-SECURITY/1.1/](http://www.omg.org/spec/DDS-SECURITY/1.1/)
2.1 Limitations when using wolfSSL

For more information about other backward compatibility issues, see the Migration Guide on the RTI Community Portal (https://community.rti.com/documentation).

2.1 Limitations when using wolfSSL

The Security Plugins for wolfSSL are interoperable with the Security Plugins for OpenSSL in most configurations. However, there are some features that are not supported by the Security Plugins for wolfSSL:

- Diffie-Hellman: The Security Plugins for wolfSSL only support the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key agreement.
- Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA): The Security Plugins for wolfSSL support for digital signatures is limited to ECDSA and RSA.
- X.509 v3 key usage extensions: Enforcing the presence of the X.509 v3 extension keyUsage is not supported by the Security Plugins for wolfSSL.
- OpenSSL engines are not supported.
Chapter 3 What's New in 6.1.1

3.1 Security Plugins for wolfSSL

This release of the Security Plugins introduces support for wolfSSL, a lightweight commercial alternative to OpenSSL.

To use wolfSSL, you need a special version of the Security Plugins (referred as Security Plugins for wolfSSL) that have been built against this crypto library. Follow the instructions in the Security Plugins Installation Guide to get started with the Security Plugins for wolfSSL.

Note that the Security Plugins for wolfSSL is available on request for select platforms only (see Chapter 2 Compatibility on page 3). If you need the Security Plugins for wolfSSL, contact your local RTI representative or email sales@rti.com.

3.2 New Platforms

This release adds support for the following platforms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating System</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>Compiler</th>
<th>RTI Architecture Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>macOS® 11</td>
<td>Arm®v8</td>
<td>clang 12.0</td>
<td>arm64Darwin20clang12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Requires Rosetta® 2 during installation, not required during runtime.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macOS 11</td>
<td>Intel®x64</td>
<td>clang 12.0</td>
<td>x64Darwin17clang9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>The same libraries for macOS 10.x have been validated on macOS 11 systems.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNX® Neutrino® 7.1</td>
<td>Amv8</td>
<td>qcc 8.3.0</td>
<td>armv8QNX7.1qcc_gpp8.3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Available for use with OpenSSL or wolfSSL.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Third-Party Software Upgrades

For QNX Neutrino 7.1 systems on Arm v8 CPUs, two different packages are available:

- One that is compatible with OpenSSL 1.1.1n.
- One that is compatible with wolfSSL 4.7. This is a custom-supported platform; contact your RTI sales representative or sales@rti.com for more information. See 2.1 Limitations when using wolfSSL on page 4.

3.3 Third-Party Software Upgrades

This release of Security Plugins uses OpenSSL 1.1.1n (the previous release used 1.1.1k).

For information on third-party software used by the Security Plugins, see the "3rdPartySoftware" document in your installation: `<NDDSHOME>/doc/manuals/connex_dds_secure/release_notes_3rdparty`. There are related documents for other Connext DDS products in `<NDDSHOME>/doc/manuals/connex_dds_professional/release_notes_3rdparty`.

3.4 Optimized Submessage Protection Performance

This release introduces changes to submessage protection decoding to improve its latency behavior. Specifically, the latency jitter has been greatly reduced in scenarios that had intensive usage of Topic Queries or DataWriter Liveliness.

3.5 New Test Suite for Code Validation for Security Plugins SDK

The Security Plugins SDK bundle now includes a buildable test suite, which allows you to validate that the Security Plugins source code works correctly.

The test suite includes a series of tests that verify the functionality of the different Security Plugins, including authentication, access control, and cryptographic transformations.

Building the SDK and running the tests requires Cmocka, a third-party unit-testing framework. You can get a pre-built version of Cmocka from the RTI Support portal, distributed as an RTI package (.rtipkg). The bundle is distributed with the name: `cmocka-1.1.5-6.1.1-target<target-architecture>.rtipkg`.

For more information on using the Security Plugins SDK and the new test suite, see the buildable source code instructions in the RTI_SecurityPlugins_BuildableSourceCode_Instructions.txt file under the top-level directory of the Security Plugins SDK.

3.6 Minimum CMake Version for Security Plugins SDK is 3.12

When using the Security Plugins SDK, the minimum required version for CMake has been updated from 3.7 to 3.12.
3.7 Extended Validity Period for Example Permissions Files

To prevent the example permissions files from expiring in a year or two, the <not_after> date has been changed to 2037. Until then, the shipped examples will not fail due to an expired permissions file.

3.8 Ability to Validate the Integrity of Secure Data with 'cryptography.taint_data property'

The Security Examples in the RTI Shapes Demo User's Manual set the property dds.data_writer-.cryptography.taint_data to simulate tainted data (see the Data Integrity scenario). This property, which is a DataWriter property that only affects the local DataWriter's outgoing user data traffic, was private and lacked documentation. This release makes the property public.

You can taint live data protected at the RTPS message, submessage, or serialized-data level (rtps, metadata, and data protection kinds). Tainting encoded payloads requires running on a little-endian machine.
Chapter 4 What's Fixed in 6.1.1

4.1 Fixes Related to Access Control

4.1.1 Incorrect Behavior of Pattern Partitions with Negated Intervals

A PartitionQosPolicy containing a pattern with negated intervals (\([!]\)) incorrectly did not intersect with a Permissions Document \(<\text{deny\_rule}>\) in some cases.

For example, a \(<\text{deny\_rule}>\) that has a partition of P1 should not allow the creation of an entity whose PartitionQosPolicy is \(*P[!2]\), but this was not the case.

This issue has been resolved.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1368]

4.1.2 Permissions Document Incorrectly Disallowed Unescaped Special Characters in Subject Name

If a \(<\text{subject\_name}>\) in the signed Permissions Document contained special characters that were not escaped with quotes, then DomainParticipant creation would incorrectly fail. For example, if the subject name had slashes:

\(<\text{subject\_name}>CN=/\text{common}/\text{name}</\text{subject\_name}>\)

then DomainParticipant creation would fail with the following errors:

```
RTI_Security_XMLPermissionsGrantHelper_subjectNameToX509name:!common attribute value must be preceded by '='
RTI_Security_XMLPermissionsGrant_onEndTag:Parse error at line 4: invalid subject_name. Format: name1=value1, name2=value2, etc.
RTIXMLParser_parseFromString_ex:Error parsing XML string
RTI_Security_PermissionsCfgFileParser_parse:!error parsing XML file
```
The affected special characters were:
\ / ; =

This problem only affected Security Plugins 6.1.0 and 6.0.1.22 and has been fixed. Now, the only character that needs to be escaped with quotes is a comma:

,  

because commas are the standard attribute separator according to RFC 4514. For example,

\<subject_name\>CN="a, TwitterHandle=@guy"</subject_name>  

is valid because of the quotes. Without the quotes, DomainParticipant creation would fail due to the unknown attribute name "TwitterHandle". Note that the quotes would be included in the output of this command:

\openssl x509 -in <identityCertificateFile> -text -noout  

which is the command that you should use to get the subject name that should go in the Permissions Document.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1428]

### 4.1.3 Certificate Authorities still Valid after their Certificates Expired

The certificates of the Identity and Permissions CAs (\texttt{dds.sec.auth.identity\_ca} and \texttt{dds.sec.access.permissions\_ca} certificates, respectively) can expire. In that case, the creation of a secure DomainParticipant should fail. However, in the previous release, DomainParticipant creation succeeded, provided that its identity (\texttt{dds.sec.auth.identity\_certificate}) and permissions certificate (\texttt{dds.sec.access.permissions}) were properly signed. Note that the expiration dates of Identity Certificates (both from the local DomainParticipant and remote DomainParticipants) have always been enforced correctly, and expired Identity Certificates have always led to DomainParticipant creation failure or authentication failure. The workaround was to avoid using expired CAs. This issue has been resolved, so attempting to create a DomainParticipant with an expired CA will fail.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1445]

### 4.1.4 'use\_530\_partitions' Incorrectly Allowed any Non-Empty Partitions

If \texttt{access\_control.use\_530\_partitions} was set to true, then an \texttt{allow\_rule} with at least one partition always allowed an endpoint with at least one partition, as long as the rule's topic and the endpoint's topic were compatible. This behavior was incorrect because if \texttt{access\_control.use\_530\_partitions} is set to true, an endpoint with QoS partitions should only be allowed if any of them match the partition rule. For example, an allow rule with \texttt{<partition>P*/</partition>} should not allow an endpoint with partition "R". This problem, which only affected Security Plugins 6.0.1.20 and 6.1.0, has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1544]
4.1.5 Problems Checking whether a Participant is Allowed to Exist

If a Permissions Document did not allow a DomainParticipant to be created but a Governance file set enable_join_access_control = false, the DomainParticipant incorrectly failed to be created. This problem is fixed. Now, the DomainParticipant will fail to be created if and only if enable_join_access_control = true.

The precedence of conflicting rules as they applied to the creation of DomainParticipants was not correct. For example, in the following Permissions Document snippet, a DomainParticipant on domain 12 was incorrectly allowed to be created:

```xml
<deny_rule>
  <domains>
    <id>12</id>
  </domains>
</deny_rule>

<allow_rule>
  <domains>
    <id>12</id>
  </domains>
</allow_rule>
```

This problem has been fixed. Now, the first rule will be applied, so a DomainParticipant on domain 12 will fail to be created. To avoid the question of precedence, rewrite your Permissions Document to eliminate conflicting rules.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-850]

4.2 Fixes Related to Authentication

4.2.1 Segmentation Fault when Running out of Memory During Authentication

Running out of memory during authentication triggered a segmentation fault in the function strlen(). This problem has been resolved.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1369]

4.2.2 Invalid Read when Receiving Corrupted Handshake Message

If the identity certificate in an authentication handshake message was missing a NULL character, then the receiving DomainParticipant would have experienced an invalid memory read, followed by either a segmentation fault or this error:

```
failed to decode certificate
```

This problem has been fixed. Now, the DomainParticipant will not experience an invalid memory read, and it will print this error instead:
4.2.3 Segmentation Fault when Running out of Memory if Key Agreement Algorithm was Diffie-Hellman

While serializing the DH public key, a segmentation fault would occur in OpenSSL's `bn2binpad` function if the system ran out of memory. This issue has been resolved.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1372]

4.2.4 Trusted Long-Running Participants Incorrectly Marked as Untrusted by All its Peers

To detect the replay of messages, the Participant TrustedState and `participant_discovery_protection_key` features rely on an ever-increasing 64-bit integer value that is not allowed to decrease in value. This value is wide enough to allow for very long running Participants.

Due to an incorrect truncation to 32 bits, the time it would take for this integer value to roll over was smaller than designed. This may have caused the trusted state of a long-running Participant to be marked as untrusted by all its peers, subsequently preventing them from communicating. This problem has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1575]

4.3 Fixes Related to Cryptography

4.3.1 Suboptimal Key Exchange Security

There was a potential weakness in the Secure Key Exchange Channel (see Secure Key Exchange in the latest version of the Security Plugins User's Manual) that could affect systems that run for longer than a year. The probability of this issue happening on these systems was very low (2^-38 for systems running over a year, and 2^-34 for systems running for 16 years, assuming that each `DomainParticipant` sent one message per second over the Secure Key Exchange Channel).

As a workaround to mitigate the consequences of this bug in long-running systems, you may have set `cryptography.max_blocks_per_session` to a value of 32000000; this would have kept the probability of this issue happening less than 2^-38. This workaround is no longer necessary, as the problem has been resolved and the Secure Key Exchange Channel no longer has suboptimal security.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1538]

4.3.2 Possible One-Time 'EVP_DecryptFinal_ex' Error During Discovery

Due to a race condition during discovery between two DomainParticipants, this error may have occurred one time:
4.4 Other Fixes

4.4.1 Properties 'com.rti.serv.secure.license_string' and 'com.rti.serv.secure.license_file' were not Validated Properly

The properties com.rti.serv.secure.license_string and com.rti.serv.secure.license_file were not validated properly. If you used these properties, you may have seen this error:

```
DDS_PropertyQosPolicy_validateEntityPropertyNames:Unexpected property: com.rti.serv.secure.license_string. Closest valid property: dds.license.license_string. If you wish to proceed with this property name anyway, change 'ddsparticipant.property_validation_action' to 'VALIDATION_ACTION_SKIP' or 'VALIDATION_ACTION_WARNING'.
```

This problem has been resolved; now you can use the properties.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1352]

4.4.2 Significant Performance Regression on Windows Systems when using OpenSSL 1.1.1k Libraries Provided with Connext DDS 6.1.0

Previously, OpenSSL was built using compiler flags that enabled the use of assembly instructions for certain operations on certain operating systems, such as 64-bit Windows systems (but not 32-bit systems).

The OpenSSL 1.1.1k libraries for Windows systems, provided with Connext DDS 6.1.0, were missing those compiler flags. This caused degraded performance in the Security Plugins, which rely on those libraries.

This problem has been resolved, as this release uses OpenSSL 1.1.1n (see 3.3 Third-Party Software Upgrades on page 6).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1458]

4.4.3 Static Linking Failure with License-Managed Security and Monitoring

When building a statically linked application that used both license-managed Security Plugins and license-managed Monitoring Library, the build failed due to multiple definitions of the following symbols:
4.4.3 Static Linking Failure with License-Managed Security and Monitoring

- RTILMUtil_des_crypt
- RTILMUtil_des_expand_key
- DDS_LM_fillicense
- DDS_LM_verify
- DDS_LM_add_license
- DDS_LM_free_license

This problem has been resolved.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1519]
Chapter 5 Previous Release

5.1 What's New in 6.1.0

Release 6.1.0 is a General Access Release based on release 6.0.1. This section describes what's new.

Any deprecations described in this section serve as notice under the Real-Time Innovations, Inc. Maintenance Policy #4220.

5.1.1 New Platforms

This release adds support for these platforms:

- macOS 11 (Arm v8)

5.1.2 Removed Platforms

The following platforms are no longer supported:

- Android 5.0, 5.1
- iOS®
- macOS 10.12
- Ubuntu 12.04 LTS
- Wind River Linux 7

5.1.3 Improvements to Security Plugins Documentation

This release features a brand new Security Plugins User's Manual, which improves multiple aspects with respect to the previous manual:
5.1.4 Changes Related to OpenSSL

5.1.4.1 Updated OpenSSL version

This release uses OpenSSL 1.1.1k (instead of 1.1.1d).

5.1.4.2 Target OpenSSL bundles distributed as .rtipkg files

Target OpenSSL bundles are now distributed as .rtipkg files. Once installed, the OpenSSL files are available in `<installation_folder>/third_party`.

5.1.4.3 Changes to OpenSSL static library names

The OpenSSL static library names no longer have a "z" suffix. `libcryptoz` has been renamed to `libcrypto`, and `libsslz` has been renamed to `libssl`. When including the static libraries in a makefile, we recommend including the whole path to the OpenSSL static libraries in order to avoid confusion with the dynamic libraries. Here is an example:

```bash
gcc -o myApp myApp.o -L$NDSDHOME/lib/$ARCH -lnndssecurityz -lnnds -lnndscorez $RTI_OPENSSLHOME/$ARCH/release/lib/libcrypto.a
```

In addition, the Android static library `librtisslsupportz` has been removed. You may use `libcrypto` instead.

5.1.5 Changes Related to New Supported Products

5.1.5.1 Added support for RTI Real-Time WAN Transport

This release adds support in the Security Plugins for the new RTI Real-Time WAN Transport. In particular, this release supports protecting the Real-Time WAN Transport Binding Ping messages.

When using HMAC-Only Mode, the value of `hmac_only.cryptography.key` is now used to protect Real-Time WAN Transport Binding Ping messages. When not using HMAC-Only Mode, you may now set a new optional property, `cryptography.rtps_protection_key`, to specify a pre-shared key that is used to protect Real-Time WAN Transport Binding Ping messages.
5.1.5.2 Added limited support for RTI Cloud Discovery Service

This release supports using RTI Cloud Discovery Service in combination with the Security Plugins. In particular, this release supports protecting the participant announcement messages emitted by Cloud Discovery Service against tampering and replay.

To protect Cloud Discovery Service messages against tampering and replay, you can protect the exchange of participant announcements between Cloud Discovery Service and the DomainParticipants through a pre-shared key (the Participant Discovery Protection Key) that must be set in all DomainParticipants and Cloud Discovery Service.

This release adds a new property, `authentication.participant_discovery_protection_key`, to configure this behavior. Please refer to the section on 'Security Considerations when Using Cloud Discovery Service' in the RTI Security Plugins User's Manual for more information.

5.1.6 Changes Related to Observability

5.1.6.1 Added local contextual information to security events logged through Connext DDS builtin logging system

This release changes the format of security events that are logged through the Connext DDS builtin logging system (NDDS_Config_Logger) to include all the metadata that was already included when the log messages were distributed over DDS (by setting the `logging.mode_mask` property to include the SECURITY_TOPIC flag).

Specifically, security events logged through the Connext DDS builtin logging system now use a JSON format, and they look similar to the following:

```json
{"DDS:Security:LogTopic":{"f":"10","s":"6","t":
{"s":"1589283680","n":"554941999"},"h":"vmhyrule-u1804-x64","i":"0.0.0.0","a":"RTI Secure DDS Application","p":"22689","k":"security","m":"successfully created encryption key","x":{"DDS":
{"domain_id":"<unknown>"},{"guid":"<unknown>"},{"plugin_class":"Cryptography"},"plugin_method":"RTI_Security_Cryptography_register_matched_remote_participant"}}}

{"DDS:Security:LogTopic":{"f":"10","s":"6","t":
{"s":"1589283684","n":"266673000"},"h":"vmhyrule-u1804-x64","i":"0.0.0.0","a":"MyAppTestName","p":"22689","k":"security","m":"successfully registered endpoint","x":{"DDS":
{"domain_id":"77"},{"guid":"b3339b76.d20fbe2d.6206b40f.1c1"},"plugin_class":"Cryptography"},"plugin_method":"RTI_Security_Cryptography_register_matched_remote_endpoint"}}}
```

The mapping from the above format to the fields in the `DDS:Security:LogTopic` type is as follows:

- "f": facility
- "s": severity
- "t": timestamp (within this field, "s" refers to seconds, and "n" to nanoseconds)
- "h": hostname
5.1.6.2 Improved builtin logging verbosity of security messages with informational severity

Security-related log messages with severity DDS_LOGGING_INFORMATIONAL_LEVEL were logged with the NDDS_CONFIG_LOG_LEVEL_STATUS_REMOTE log level when going through the Connext DDS builtin logging system. These log messages could have gone unnoticed, depending on the verbosity configured. Now, these messages are logged with NDDS_CONFIG_LOG_VERBOSITY_STATUS_LOCAL log level.

5.1.6.3 Identity certificate and identity CA certificate values now included in log messages

Previously, if verification of the identity certificate failed, the resulting error log message did not include the certificate values. Observability has been improved by logging the identity certificate (dds.sec.auth.identity_certificate) and the identity CA certificate (dds.sec.auth.identity_ca).

This can be helpful information if the certificates being read are not the ones the user expects (for example, if a different security profile is loaded).

5.1.6.4 Issuer and subject of invalid certificate now included in log messages

Previously, if verification of an identity certificate failed, the resulting log message did not include the certificate subject or issuer and went through the NDDS_Config_Logger. Observability has been improved by logging both the issuer and subject of invalid certificates, for both locally created and remotely discovered participants. This message now goes through the Security Logging Plugin. This information can be used to audit attempts to join a secure domain.

5.1.6.5 Enhanced message logged when trying to load a non-existent CA identity file

This feature was added in release 6.0.1, but did not get documented at that time.

If the dds.sec.auth.identity_ca property pointed to a non-existent file, Security Plugins logged an error message including the following text:

```
Error opening CA certificate
failed to load certificate authority cert in file
```

That text was misleading, since it implied there could be a problem processing the file (when the problem was in fact that the file was not found). This release replaces the above text with a more meaningful error:
No such file or directory
failed to lookup dds.sec.auth.identity_ca

(This improvement was made while in the course of making dds.data_writer.history.key_material_key mandatory; see 'Property key_material_key now required for Secure Persistence Service' in 'What's New' in the 6.0.1 Security Plugins Release Notes.)

### 5.1.6.6 Message now logged when authentication and authorization complete

The following (or a similar) log message is now generated when a DomainParticipant authenticates and authorizes another DomainParticipant after completing an authentication handshake:

PREParticipant_processHandshake:[Local Participant: 12345678 12345678 12345678] [Remote Participant: 87654321 87654321 87654321] security: authentication and authorization completed

The specific numbers will vary depending on the DomainParticipants' GUIDs.

### 5.1.6.7 Replaced cryptic error message in recoverable authentication scenario

During authentication, a DomainParticipant may have rarely generated this message at ERROR verbosity:

RTI_Security_Authentication_process_initial_handshake_message:failed to get identity
certificate binary property

This message indicated that the DomainParticipant was in a recoverable authentication scenario. Instead of seeing this ERROR message, you will now see this message at NOTICE verbosity:

RTI_Security_Authentication_begin_handshake_reply:received unexpected handshake message, probably from a participant that this one lost liveliness with before ongoing authentication completed. Once this participant sends an authentication request, communication should be restored.

### 5.1.6.8 Error is now logged if OpenSSL is finalized prematurely

In previous releases, if a DDS application prematurely finalized the OpenSSL library or certain OpenSSL resources (for example, by calling the function EVP_cleanup) during Security Plugins execution, this triggered a crash upon discovery of a remote secure DataWriter or DataReader.

While finalizing the OpenSSL library after initialization is not supported (and may lead to undefined behavior), the Security Plugins will now handle this particular situation gracefully, and the application will fail with the following error message instead of crashing:

!get cipher: this may be caused by a premature openssl library finalization

### 5.1.7 Changes Related to Scalability

#### 5.1.7.1 Endpoint state transition improvements for key distribution

This release incorporates a set of internal improvements to the way the Security Plugins handle key distribution interactions with endpoint state transitions.
In particular, the Security Plugins now treat secure endpoints whose key material has not been fully exchanged (i.e., both the DataWriter and the DataReader have successfully delivered their keys to their match) as unmatched endpoints. Consequently, Connext DDS will not start sending secure endpoint traffic until it has confirmed the remote endpoint can successfully decode it, which will save unnecessary traffic during initial endpoint discovery. Another result of this change is that Connext DDS will not start applying liveness/activity timeouts until the two involved endpoints are ready to successfully exchange RTPS messages, which will save the application from unexpected liveness/activity events during/immediately after initial endpoint discovery.

Note that beyond saving traffic and avoiding unexpected transitions, the behavior observable by Connext DDS applications has not changed.

5.1.7.2 Automatic removal of human-readable part of propagated Identity Certificates

The human-readable part of Identity Certificates is now stripped by default. Identity Certificates are in PEM format, which, in addition to the Base64 encoded certificate, may contain a human-readable component. If present, the human-readable part is now removed before transmitting the Identity Certificate over the network. You can control this behavior with the boolean property authentication.propagate_simplified_identity_certificate. See the Security Plugins User's Manual for more details.

5.1.7.3 Disabled multicast on Authentication and Key Exchange builtin endpoints

This release disables multicast for the builtin endpoints of Authentication (ParticipantStatelessMessage) and Key Exchange (ParticipantVolatileMessageSecure). The DataWriters of these topics send messages directly to individual DataReaders. Multicast is therefore unnecessary. In previous releases, the DataReaders of these topics inherited the multicast locators that were specified in the DiscoveryQosPolicy's multicast_receive_addresses field. In this release, this inheritance does not occur; the DataReaders of these topics never use any multicast locators.

5.1.7.4 Improved discovery scalability when using BuiltinQosLib::Generic.Security profile

In previous releases, the BuiltinQosLib::Generic.Security profile set the fast_heartbeat_period and late_joinder_heartbeat_period to 100ms. This may have resulted in excessive traffic during discovery, which may have affected scalability if your system had a considerable number of DomainParticipants.

In this release, both the fast_heartbeat_period and late_joinder_heartbeat_period settings have been changed to 1s. As a result, using BuiltinQosLib::Generic.Security as your base profile will provide shorter discovery times without compromising your system’s scalability.

5.1.7.5 Changed default value of max_heartbeat_retries for secure volatile channel to UNLIMITED

A DataReader is marked as inactive when it does not respond within the max_heartbeat_retries number of periodic heartbeats. This means that the DataWriter will not wait for the DataReader to send an ACK/NACK before removing DDS samples.
The default value for `max_heartbeat_retries` was changed to UNLIMITED for the secure volatile channel because, if a pending volatile sample is removed and never resent, the system enters into an unrecoverable situation (unless participant liveness expires).


5.1.8 Changes Related to Shipped Examples

5.1.8.1 New Shapes Demo XML examples

This release includes new XML files to configure security:

- `RTI_SHAPES_DEMO_GOVERNANCE_RTPS_ENCRYPT_WITH_ORIGIN_AUTHENTICATION.xml`
- `signed/RTI_SHAPES_DEMO_GOVERNANCE_RTPS_ENCRYPT_WITH_ORIGIN_AUTHENTICATION.p7s`

And a new profile:

- `Security::SecureRtpsEncryptWithOriginAuthentication`
  This profile provides maximum security for RTPS messages. It protects outgoing messages from being tainted or viewed, and protects outgoing messages from being replayed by a subscriber masquerading as a publisher.

In addition, the Shapes Demo User’s Manual includes a new example that illustrates the contents of RTPS packets when using maximum protection for RTPS messages.

5.1.8.2 Changes in shipped example certificates and OpensSSL configuration files

This release changes the way the shipped example certificates and OpensSSL configuration files are structured. In particular, the following structure applies:
5.1.9 Changes Related to Usability

- cert
  - <pkiName_description>
    - ca
      - private
      - database
      - newCerts
    - identities

5.1.8.3 Removed libssl library from hello_security makefiles

The Security Plugins do not depend on the OpenSSL library libssl. They only depend on libcrypto. Therefore, libssl has been removed from the hello_security example makefiles and project files.

5.1.9 Changes Related to Usability

5.1.9.1 Ability to configure reliability protocol settings of the Key Exchange builtin topic

This release adds the ability to configure the reliability protocol settings of the Key Exchange topic (ParticipantVolatileMessageSecure), when security is enabled. Now you can modify the reliability protocol and data lifecycle settings of the Key Exchange builtin topic by changing the DiscoveryConfigQosPolicy's secure_volatile_reader and secure_volatile_writer.


5.1.9.2 Introduced bitmask to configure the logging methods in use

This release changes the way to configure which logging methods to use, by using a bitmask. The property logging.mode_mask now configures whether to use the Connext DDS builtin logging system, the Builtin Secure Logging Topic as defined in the DDS Security specification, or both.

The logging.mode_mask property is now the only way to enable a logging method, deprecating the logging.distribute_enable property.

Distributing the security log over DDS now requires setting the logging.mode_mask to include the SECURITY_TOPIC flag. For consistency, properties for configuring security logging distributed over DDS have been renamed to start with logging.security_topic. For more information, see 5.1.9.3 New property names to configure security logging distribution over DDS on the next page.

Redirecting the security messages to a file with the logging.log_file property is no longer possible, and using this property will result in a DomainParticipant creation failure. You can still redirect the security log to a file by enabling the BUILTIN flag in the logging.mode_mask property (enabled by default) and configuring the Connext DDS Builtin Logging System to use a log file or an output device.
5.1.9.3 New property names to configure security logging distribution over DDS

In previous releases, properties for configuring security logging distributed over DDS had a name starting with logging.distribute. This release renames these properties to start with logging.security_topic.

Properties with the former names are deprecated and will be removed in a future release. If you set a property using both the logging.distribute and the logging.security_topic forms, the latter will take effect, and the former will be ignored.

5.1.9.4 Changes related to logging plugin configuration

The Logging Plugin properties logging.distribute.writer_history_depth and logging.distribute.writer_timeout have been deprecated. Setting either of them will not affect the logging DataWriter's QoS and will result in a WARNING-level log message similar to:

Ignoring logging.distribute.writer_history_depth, which is now a deprecated property.
Use the logging.security_topic.profile property to specify the writer QoS.

For the logging.security_topic.profile property, the documented behavior did not match the actual behavior. For example, the Logging Plugin actually hard-coded the durability.kind, history.kind, publish_mode.kind, and reliability.kind, regardless of what was in the profile. This problem has been fixed. These values are no longer hard-coded. See the Security Plugins User's Manual for details.

5.1.9.5 Improved handling of messages that exceed logging queue message_size_max

If the LogTopicDataWriter failed to write a log message because of the logging.security_topic.queue.message_size_max limit, the error message was:

REDAConcurrentQueue_startWriteEA!:!precondition: msgSize > q->desc. messageSizeMax

This behavior has improved. If it's possible to send any part of the message, the message will be truncated to fit within the limit, and Connext DDS's own builtin logging system will generate a WARNING message. If it's not possible, the write will fail, and Connext DDS's own builtin logging system will generate an ERROR message. To guarantee space for the shortest possible log message, the minimum allowed value of logging.security_topic.queue.message_size_max is now 27.

5.1.9.6 The "file:" prefix can now be used for alternative files

In release 6.0.0, the properties com.rti.serv.secure.authentication.alternative_ca_files and com.rti.serv.secure.access_control.alternative_permissions_authority_files did not accept a "file:" prefix in their values, unlike all other properties that accepted a file name as a value. (Release 6.0.0 introduced the "file:" prefix to the other properties.) These properties now accept an optional "file:" prefix in front of any of the filenames in the list.
5.1.9.7 Added support to provide a Certificate Revocation List as a string

You may now specify the Certificate Revocation List as document contents instead of a file name. The `authentication.crl_file` property has been deprecated and replaced by `authentication.crl`, which requires a "file:" or "data:;" prefix.

5.1.9.8 Provided Certificate Revocation List may include CRLs from intermediate CAs

The `authentication.crl` property value may now contain CRLs signed by intermediate CAs from an identity certificate chain.

Consider this scenario:

- rootCa signed
  - intermediateCa
  - identityCert1
  - rootCrl, which revoked
    - identityCert1

- intermediateCa signed
  - identityCert2
  - intermediateCrl, which revoked
    - identityCert2

Consider the following configuration:

- `identity_ca = rootCa`
- `crl = rootCrl concatenated with intermediateCrl`
- `identity_certificate = identityCert2 concatenated with intermediateCa`

In previous releases, certificate verification would have succeeded. Now, certificate verification fails because intermediateCa revoked identityCert2.

5.1.9.9 Disallow multiple private keys

If the value of `dds.sec.auth.private_key` contained multiple private keys concatenated to each other, `DomainParticipant` creation succeeded and the `DomainParticipant` used the first private key that appeared in the value.
This behavior was error-prone and has been improved. DomainParticipant creation now fails in this situation, with this log message:

```
there must be exactly one private key in this URI
```

### 5.1.9.10 Ability to load multiple OpenSSL engines

You may now use the `openssl_engine` property to load multiple engines. You may specify a semicolon-separated list of dynamic libraries that each implement an OpenSSL engine. Each engine may implement a different set of security functions. For example, one engine may implement certificate management, while another engine may implement cryptographic operations. If the `authentication.keyform` property value is `engine`, the private key must be successfully loaded by exactly one of the engines in this list.

### 5.1.9.11 New property to disable RSA PSS padding

The kind of padding used when signing and verifying documents can be now controlled using the property `<prefix>.authentication.rsa_pss_pad`. The value is a boolean:

- TRUE [default]: Use RSA PSS padding (RSA_PKCS1_PSS_PADDING) as specified in the DDS Security specification.
- FALSE: Use standard RSA padding (RSA_PKCS1_PADDING).

This property takes effect only on certificate authorities that use RSA. All of the `DomainParticipants` in the system must set this property to the same value in order to communicate with each other.

This allows you to use the shipped OpenSSL configuration files to regenerate the certificates without needing to create new directories (database files still need to be initialized).

### 5.2 What's Fixed in 6.1.0

#### 5.2.1 Fixes Related to Access Control

##### 5.2.1.1 Permissions document incorrectly required subject names to have attributes in a certain order

If the order of the `<subject_name>` attributes in the signed permissions file (i.e., the order of the C, L, CN, and ST elements) was not in forward or reverse order relative to the Subject field in the identity_certificate, then the `<subject_name>` would not be considered a match with the identity_certificate, and you would see the following error, followed by a DomainParticipant creation failure:

```
[CREATE Participant]RTI_Security_AccessControl_get_grant_from_certificate:XML file doesn't contain a grant for subject name
```

The requirement of a certain order does not align with X.509 certificate standards. This problem has been fixed by allowing the attributes to appear in any order.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1000]
5.2.1.2 Wrong permissions validity date if date is a leap year

According to the DDS Security specification, the Permissions Document contains a <validity> element, which contains <not_before> and <not_after> elements. Each of the latter two elements contains a date and time. If you specified a leap year as the date, the Security Plugins incorrectly added one day to the date. For example, Security Plugins incorrectly interpreted "2020-01-08T00:00:00" as "2020-01-09T00:00:00". As a result, if you set the <not_before> value to less than a day before the current time, and the day was within a leap year, you would incorrectly get this error and fail DomainParticipant creation:

```
RTI_Security_PermissionsGrant_isValidTime:now is before not_before of permissions file
```

This problem has been fixed. Leap years in the Permissions Document are now interpreted correctly.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1056]

5.2.1.3 Incorrect rule matching when there were multiple rules for the same topic

In a Permissions Document, if an allow or deny rule contained multiple publish or subscribe rules for the same topic, and the first publish or subscribe rule was not applicable because of partitions or data tags but the second rule was applicable, then the second rule was incorrectly not applied.

Here is an example scenario:

```xml
<deny_rule>
    <subscribe>
        <topics>
            <topic>SEC1241Topic</topic>
        </topics>
        <data_tags>
            <tag>
                <name>tag1</name>
                <value>value1</value>
            </tag>
        </data_tags>
    </subscribe>
    <subscribe>
        <topics>
            <topic>SEC1241Topic</topic>
        </topics>
        <data_tags>
            <tag>
                <name>tag2</name>
                <value>value2</value>
            </tag>
        </data_tags>
    </subscribe>
</deny_rule>
<default>ALLOW</default>
```
If you tried to create a DataReader of SEC1241Topic with a data tag of \{tag2, value2\}, the DataReader would incorrectly be created because of <default>ALLOW</default>. This problem has been fixed. This DataReader will now fail to be created because of the second subscribe rule.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1241]

5.2.1.4 Incorrect 'allow rule' matching for partitions with regular expression patterns

In the Permissions Document, an <allow_rule> with a pattern partition (e.g., "P[!1]" ) incorrectly allowed creation of an entity whose PartitionQosPolicy contained a regular expression pattern that was not a subset of that <allow_rule> (e.g., "P*". "P1" is included in "P*" but not included in "P[!1]", so "P*" should not be allowed).

This problem has been fixed. An entity with that partition pattern will no longer be allowed in this scenario. Now, the entity's partitions must consist of only "P[!1]" or concrete partitions included by "P[!1]". In order for an <allow_rule> to allow an entity, any pattern partitions in the entity must have a corresponding exact match in the <allow_rule>.

Addressing this issue requires introducing a behavior (see 6.7 'Allow Rule' Patterns Incorrectly do not Allow Subset Patterns in QoS on page 38) that has been determined to cause less user friction. Previously, if "P*" was allowed, then "P1*" was also allowed. Now, "P1*" is incorrectly no longer allowed because "P1*" is not an exact match with "P*".

SEC-1242 is also described in the Migration Guide on the RTI Community Portal (https://community.rti.com/documentation).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1228]

5.2.1.5 'Allow rule' with no partitions incorrectly allowed an entity with an empty partition followed by a non-empty partition

An <allow_rule> with no partitions incorrectly allowed creation of an entity whose PartitionQosPolicy contained the empty partition followed by a non-empty partition (e.g., "" followed by "A"). This problem has been fixed. This entity is no longer allowed because its PartitionQosPolicy contains "A", which is not empty.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1245]

5.2.1.6 Incorrect 'deny rule' matching for partitions with regular expression patterns

In the Permissions Document, a <deny_rule> that had concrete non-empty partitions (e.g., "partitionA") incorrectly did not prevent creation of an entity whose PartitionQosPolicy contained a regular expression pattern that intersected with that <deny_rule> (e.g., "partition*"). This problem has been fixed. A <deny_rule> with "partitionA" will now deny a PartitionQosPolicy with "partition*" in order to prevent the entity from reading or writing data on partitionA. Note that "partition[!A]" would be allowed (since !A means "any character except A").
5.2.2 Fixes Related to Cryptography

5.2.2.1 Unexpected 'DecryptFinal' or precondition failure during participant key exchange may have prevented communication

There were certain scenarios that may have led to issues during DomainParticipants' key exchange when enabling security in your Connext DDS application:

- With release libraries, you would have seen "DecryptFinal failed. Possible GCM authentication failure" when logging verbosity (or logging.log_level) was set to DEBUG. (Note this error can happen for other, expected reasons, too.)

- With debug libraries, the behavior depended on which release you were running:
  - With Connext DDS 5.3.0.20 and 6.0.1.3 (which included SEC-1061) you would have seen the following precondition failure at the function RTI_Security_Cryptography_encode_submessage(): "!precondition: (remote_endpoint_crypto_list)->size != 1". This precondition should never occur, and when it triggers it may prevent any further communications with certain DomainParticipants.
  - With all other releases, you would have seen "DecryptFinal failed. Possible GCM authentication failure" when logging verbosity (or logging.log_level) was set to DEBUG. (Note this error can happen for other, expected reasons, too.)

In particular, one of the scenarios leading to these issues was triggered if you created and destroyed a DomainParticipant, A1, and then created a new DomainParticipant, A2, on the same machine and with the same configuration as A1. In this scenario, a secure DomainParticipant, B, that remained alive during the creation of A1 and A2 may have produced the aforementioned errors. Additionally, when the precondition "!precondition: (remote_endpoint_crypto_list)->size != 1" was logged, B may have entered into a state that prevented any further communication with A2.

This problem has been resolved. The issues leading to the errors above have been fixed. Moreover, in addition to the existing precondition, the following error message has been added to both the release and debug libraries to identify if this unexpected situation (or a similar one) happens in the future (which should never be the case):

"Only one remote endpoint crypto handle is expected for the Secure Volatile channel, instead there are 2."

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1141]
5.2.2.2 Unexpected "DecryptFinal" failures may have resulted in unnecessary increased or dropped traffic

There was an issue with receiver-specific MACs validation for ACK and GAP submessages that resulted in network traffic being incorrectly dropped and possibly increased. When this issue triggered, the following message was logged at DEBUG logging verbosity (or the equivalent logging.log_level in 6.0.1 and below):

DecryptFinal failed. Possible GCM authentication failure.

This problem has been fixed. Now all protected GAP/ACK messages are properly validated and the log message included above should no longer appear because of this particular issue. Note that the log message mentioned above may still be logged in the following two cases:

- At ERROR verbosity in case of real submessage tampering/corruption.
- At DEBUG verbosity if communicating with DDS Security implementations that do not disable multicast for the Secure Volatile Channel (this might be the case when interoperating with Security Plugins prior to 6.1.0 or other vendor plugins).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1061]

5.2.2.3 Potential unexpected protection of certain submessages

In certain cases, a DataWriter setting <metadata_protection_kind> to a value other than NONE may have protected submessages that should not be protected according to the DDS Security specification.

Specifically, this may have happened if the buffer the DataWriter uses to compose RTPS messages became full with submessages while building an RTPS message, and thus the DataWriter needed to split the submessages into two different RTPS messages. In this scenario, the second RTPS message may have incorrectly contained protected INFO_DST or INFO_TS submessages (by the OMG DDS Security specification, INFO_DST and INFO_TS should not be protected).

While this would have not prevented communication, it was not compliant with the OMG DDS Security specification, and it might have provoked the second RTPS message to be dropped by other DDS implementations, potentially generating unnecessary traffic.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1144]

5.2.2.4 Potential unexpected protection of certain submessages within the same RTPS message

In certain cases, a DataWriter setting <metadata_protection_kind> to a value other than NONE may have protected submessages that should not be protected according to the DDS Security specification.

For example, this was the case when adding multiple samples (with different timestamps) as part of the same RTPS message. Another case was composing an RTPS message that was directed to multiple
destinations. In these scenarios, the resulting RTPS message may have incorrectly contained a mix of protected and unprotected INFO_DST or INFO_TS submessages (by the OMG DDS Security specification, INFO_DST and INFO_TS should not be protected).

While this would not have prevented communication, it was not compliant with the OMG DDS Security specification, and it may have provoked the second RTPS message to be dropped by other DDS implementations, potentially generating unnecessary traffic.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1168]

5.2.2.5 INFO_DST destination information ignored when parsing secure submessages or enabling CRC

An INFO_DST submessage contains the GUID Prefix of the destination reader for the submessages coming after it (until the end of the RTPS message or a new INFO_DST).

Previously, some types of submessages skipped this validation. As a result, some submessages (for example, secure submessages) were incorrectly processed after processing an INFO_DST indicating that the destination reader was not the one receiving the submessages. Decryption may have then failed (because the reader trying to decode the submessages was not the reader the messages were addressed to), and Connext DDS would have logged errors.

This problem has been resolved. Connext DDS now properly enforces the destination restrictions derived from received INFO_DST submessages in all cases, and submessages whose destination don't match the receiving reader will be silently dropped.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1109]

5.2.2.6 Incorrect certificate verification failure when CRL was not applicable

Certificate verification incorrectly failed when the DomainParticipant was configured to have a crl_file that was signed by a different CA than the one that signed the identity_certificate. For example, consider the following scenario:

- identity_ca has signed the crl_file.
- One of the alternative_ca_files has signed the identity_certificate.
- Certificate verification would fail with error 8: CRL signature failure.

This verification failure resulted in a failure to either create or complete discovery with a DomainParticipant. This problem has been fixed. As long as no element in the identity_certificate chain has been revoked by its signer, certificate verification will now succeed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1117]
5.2.3 Fixes Related to Discovery and Entity Matching

5.2.3.1 `get_matched` APIs incorrectly included endpoints with pending key material

If a `DataReader` and a matching `DataWriter` had `metadata_protection_kind` or `data_protection_kind` equal to SIGN or ENCRYPT, then `DDS_DataWriter_get_matched_subscription_data()` incorrectly returned `DDS_RETCODE_OK` if the `DataWriter` had not yet received the `DataReader`'s key material. `DDS_DataReader_get_matched_publication_data()` had a similar problem.

Also, `DDS_DataWriter_get_matched_subscriptions()` and `DDS_DataReader_get_matched_publications()` incorrectly included subscriptions and publications whose key material had not yet been received. Consequently, if you were relying on those functions to determine when to start writing samples, it was possible to start writing protected samples before a `DataReader` was able to decode them or before a `DataWriter` was able to decode ACKNACKs. Those samples or ACKNACKs would have been dropped.

All four functions have been fixed. `DDS_DataWriter_get_matched_subscription_data()` and `DDS_DataReader_get_matched_publication_data()` now return `DDS_RETCODE_PRECONDITION_NOT_MET` in this situation. `DDS_DataWriter_get_matched_subscriptions()` and `DDS_DataReader_get_matched_publications()` no longer include endpoints whose key material is pending reception.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1064]

5.2.3.2 `DataReader` may have reported unexpected `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS` for a `DataReader`

Under the following sequence of events, a `DataWriter` setting `<metadata_protection_kind>` or `<data_protection_kind>` to a value other than NONE would have reported an unexpected `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS` for a `DataReader`:

1. The `DataWriter` discovered the `DataReader`, but the key material for the `DataReader` was not available yet. In this case, the `DataWriter` did not report `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS` yet because the `DataReader` was not fully matched. This is expected.
2. The `DataReader` left the system.
3. The `DataWriter` received key material from the `DataReader` immediately after the `DataReader` left the system.

In this scenario, the `DataWriter` should not have reported any `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS`, since the `DataReader` was never fully matched nor reported to the user. However, the `DataWriter` incorrectly reported a `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS` for the `DataReader` with a `current_count_change` of -1.

This problem has been resolved. The `DataWriter` will no longer report an unexpected `PUBLICATION_MATCHED_STATUS` for a `DataReader` that had never been fully matched.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1151]
5.2.4 Fixes Related to Interoperability with Other Vendors

5.2.4.1 Lack of communication with DomainParticipant from different DDS vendor

A Connext DDS DomainParticipant with security enabled may not have communicated with a DomainParticipant from a different DDS vendor.

This only occurred when any of these conditions were met:

- The other DDS vendor sent directed writes on the DCPSParticipantVolatileMessageSecure or DCPSParticipantStatelessMessage builtin Topic.
- The other DDS vendor parsed the directed write (PID_DIRECTED_WRITE) inline QoS parameter that was sent by the Connext DDS DomainParticipant.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1074]

5.2.4.2 Diffie-Hellman public key did not match DDS Security specification

The DDS Security specification states that if the key agreement algorithm is "DH+MODP-2048-256", then the Diffie-Hellman public key shall be according to IETF RFC 5114. Previous releases incorrectly conformed to IETF RFC 3526 when the property authentication.shared_secret_algorithm was set to "dh". This behavior prevented the Security Plugins from interoperating with correctly implemented security plugins from other DDS vendors when using Diffie-Hellman.

Starting with this release, the Diffie-Hellman key agreement conforms with the specification. To avoid breaking backward compatibility with previous versions of the Security Plugins, a DH public key according to IETF RFC 3526 is generated for key agreement with remote participants of older versions of the Security Plugins.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1214]

5.2.5 Fixes Related to Observability

5.2.5.1 Wrong function name in heap allocation-related error messages

If an error occurred during heap allocation within the Security Plugins, the log message included the name of the parent function, which is inconsistent with the rest of Connext DDS heap-related errors.

This problem has been resolved. Now the log message will refer to the name of allocating function (not its parent).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-991]
5.2.5.2 Wrong logging distribution property names

In previous releases, the documented names of the properties to configure the logging thread threshold did not match the ones the Security Plugins expected. In particular, the following three property names were not correctly parsed by the Security Plugins:

- logging.distribute.thread.message_threshold
- logging.distribute.thread.plugin_method_threshold
- logging.distribute.thread.plugin_class_threshold

Instead, the plugins were expecting the following, wrong names:

- logging.distribute.queue.thread.message_threshold
- logging.distribute.queue.thread.plugin_method_threshold
- logging.distribute.queue.thread.plugin_class_threshold

The names for the properties that configure the logging thread thresholds have been updated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Property Name</th>
<th>New Property Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logging.distribute.queue.thread.message_threshold</td>
<td>logging.security_topic.thread.message_threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logging.distribute.queue.thread.plugin_method_threshold</td>
<td>logging.security_topic.thread.plugin_method_threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logging.distribute.queue.thread.plugin_class_threshold</td>
<td>logging.security_topic.thread.plugin_class_threshold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You must update the property names if you were using the ones including “queue” in their name. Attempting to use the “queue” properties will now fail during property validation.

No code changes are required if you were already using the ones without “queue” in their name. With that said, we recommend updating your properties to use the new properties, which include “security_topic” instead of “distribute”, because a future release may stop supporting the “distribute” version of the properties.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1162]

5.2.6 Fixes Related to Scalability

5.2.6.1 Dynamically loaded Security Plugins library was never unloaded

When loading a Security Plugins library (e.g., nddssecurity) dynamically, the library was never unloaded. This problem has been fixed by unloading the library when the last DomainParticipant in the DomainParticipantFactory is deleted.
Note: You may still see "still reachable" memory leaks in dlopen and dlcose. These leaks are a result of a bug in Valgrind™ (https://bugs.launchpad.net/ubuntu/+source/valgrind/+bug/1160352).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1026]

5.2.6.2 Memory leak when setting logging.security_topic.profile (formerly logging.distribute.profile)

Setting the property logging.security_topic.profile (formerly logging.distribute.profile) resulted in a memory leak in the function RTI_Security_Logging_set_log_options(), which is called during DomainParticipant creation. This memory leak has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1085]

5.2.6.3 Memory leak if certificate chain failed to be verified against CA

A memory leak occurred in the function PEM_read_bio_X509_AUX() when an identity_certificate containing a chain of at least two certificates failed to be verified against the identity_ca or one of the alternative_ca_files. This leak occurred while either creating or discovering a DomainParticipant. This problem has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1169]

5.2.6.4 Potentially very long recovery times for timed-out authentications

An issue may have caused two DomainParticipants to take a very long time to recover from an unsuccessful authentication negotiation. Specifically, the issue may have occurred if the following three conditions were met:

- One of the DomainParticipants completed authentication within the authentication timeout (configured through the dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_timeout.sec property).
- The other DomainParticipant timed out the authentication.
- The authentication request delay (configured through the dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_request_delay.sec property) was set to a value lower than the authentication time out (i.e., the authentication request recovery mechanism was enabled).

Under these circumstances, the two involved participants may have entered into a state where the first participant remained in an authenticated state, while the second participant continuously started new authentication negotiations and failed them after the configured authentication timeout.

This problem has been resolved. Two DomainParticipants will no longer enter into this state, and they will complete authentication in a timely manner. In addition, the following property has been added to provide more control over the authentication negotiation: dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_request_timeout.sec.
5.2.6 Fixes Related to Scalability

This property determines the timeout (in seconds) for authentication negotiations started from an authentication request message (authentication request is a DDS Security 1.1 mechanism to securely recover from an asymmetric liveliness loss). The default value is 20 seconds. If this property is set to a value greater than `dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_timeout.sec`, then the value in `dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_timeout.sec` will be used instead.

To minimize authentication negotiation times during system startup, follow these guidelines:

- Set `dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_timeout.sec` to a value that is twice the time it takes to authenticate all of the `DomainParticipants` during the system startup. A too short value will trigger additional authentication negotiations, generating additional CPU load and network traffic, and generally slowing down the system startup.
- Set `dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_request_delay.sec` to a value that is higher than the time it takes to authenticate all of the `DomainParticipants` during the system startup. A too short value will generate additional traffic and potentially additional unnecessary authentication negotiations.
- Set `dds.participant.trust_plugins.authentication_request_timeout.sec` to the average time it takes to authenticate a `DomainParticipant` in your system at startup.
- Make sure that the sum of the configured values for `authentication_request_delay` and `authentication_request_timeout` is lower than the `authentication_timeout`.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1203]

5.2.6.5 Inefficient decoding of samples in keyed DataReaders

For a DataReader setting `<data_protection_kind>` to a value other than NONE, when `dds.data_reader.history.memory_manager.fast_pool.pool_buffer_max_size` is set to a value other than UNBOUNDED, Connext DDS creates a decoding buffer that is reused by the DataReader to decode all of the received samples with a serialized sample size smaller than the value configured in `dds.data_reader.history.memory_manager.fast_pool.pool_buffer_max_size`. For samples with a bigger size than `dds.data_reader.history.memory_manager.fast_pool.pool_buffer_max_size`, the DataReader dynamically allocates and releases a temporary buffer.

In previous releases, there was an issue that prevented the decoding buffer from being created, making the DataReader allocate and free a temporary buffer for all of the received samples.

This problem has been resolved. DataReaders now correctly create the decoding buffer when `dds.data_reader.history.memory_manager.fast_pool.pool_buffer_max_size` is configured.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1128]
5.2.6.6 Key Exchange (Secure Volatile) DataWriter was inefficient when repairing samples in some cases

The Key Exchange (Secure Volatile) DataWriter was inefficient when repairing samples in some cases. Specifically, this inefficiency was triggered when repairing more than 32 samples at the same time, resulting in additional traffic.

This problem has been resolved. The Secure Volatile DataWriter no longer generates additional traffic in this scenario.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1143]

5.2.7 Fixes Related to Security Plugins SDK

5.2.7.1 SDK compilation failure after "make clean"

The Security Plugins SDK compilation would fail if the command "make clean" was executed. This problem has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-769]

5.2.8 Other Fixes

5.2.8.1 Segmentation fault when using DomainParticipantListener with Security Plugins

While enabling a DomainParticipant, a segmentation fault would occur in the function DDSDomainParticipantListener_forward_onPublicationMatched() when using a DomainParticipantListener with the Security Plugins enabled. This issue has been fixed.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1029]

5.2.8.2 Participant creation failed when using authentication.keyform property in Security Plugins SDK

If you tried to set the property `com.rti.serv.secure.authentication.keyform`, participant creation failed with the following error:

```
DDS_PropertyQosPolicy_validatePropertyNames:Unexpected property:
com.rti.serv.secure.authentication.keyform. Closest valid property:
com.rti.serv.secure.authentication.crl_file
```

This problem has been resolved.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1072]

5.2.8.3 Possible crash if Security Logging Plugin deleted while it was logging a message

There was an unexpected scenario where the Security Logging Plugin was logging a message while another thread was deleting the plugin. This problem could lead to a crash in the logging operation.
The problem has been resolved. Now deletion of the Security Logging Plugin will be synchronized with the logging operation. So the Logging Plugin can only be deleted if there are no threads using it. We will wait for a timeout, so any thread using the plugin has the opportunity to finish. In the (unexpected) case there are still threads using the plugin after the timeout, the deletion will be skipped to prevent a crash.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1255]

5.2.8.4 remove_peer() caused delete_participant() to hang

When using security, the function DDS_DomainParticipant_remove_peer() did not work. With debug libraries, DDS_DomainParticipant_remove_peer() generated a precondition error while calling the internal function PRESParticipant_getRemoteParticipantInterceptorHandleNodePt(), and the peer would fail to be removed. With release libraries, a later call to the function DDS_DomainParticipant_add_peer() would be ineffective, and a later call to the function DDS_DomainParticipantFactory_delete_participant() would hang.

This problem has been resolved. DDS_DomainParticipant_remove_peer() now works when using security.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1261]
Chapter 6 Known Issues

6.1 Data Protection Kind does not Affect Serialized Keys Sent with Dispose Samples

If you set `DataWriterQos.protocol.serialize_key_with_dispose` to true, and you set `data_protection_kind` to a value other than NONE, the key that is serialized with a dispose sample will incorrectly not be protected. In order to protect this key, you must set `metadata_protection_kind` or `rtps_protection_kind` to a value other than NONE.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-627]

6.2 No Support for ECDSA-ECDH with Static OpenSSL Libraries and Certicom Security Builder

If you are using the Certicom® Security Builder® engine, you cannot use the ecdsa-ecdh shared secret algorithm together with static OpenSSL libraries. If you want to use ecdsa-ecdh with Certicom Security Builder, you must use dynamic OpenSSL libraries. Attempting to use ecdsa-ecdh with static OpenSSL libraries and Certicom Security Builder will cause the following errors during participant discovery:

```
Authentication_compute_sharedsecret:failed to provide remote DP public key
Authentication_process_handshake:key generation fail
Authentication_get_shared_secret:empty secret
PRESParticipant_authorizeRemoteParticipant:!security function get_shared_secret
```

6.3 No Support for Writing >65kB Unfragmented Samples Using Metadata or RTPS Message Protection

The following use case is not supported:

- `metadata_protection_kind` = SIGN or ENCRYPT or `rtps_protection_kind` = SIGN or ENCRYPT
6.4 subscription_data and publication_data in check_local_datawriter_match / check_local_datareader_match

- message_size_max > 65536. This is possible when using the TCP transport.
- The user is writing unfragmented samples of size greater than 65kB but less than message_size_max.

In order to write the large sample, you must set message_size_max to be smaller than the message size, so the sample can be put in fragments smaller than 65 kB.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-768]

6.4 subscription_data and publication_data in check_local_datawriter_match / check_local_datareader_match are not Populated

When calling check_local_datawriter_match / check_local_datareader_match, Connext DDS does not set the subscription_data and publication_data parameters. While this issue has no impact on the DDS Security builtin plugins, it could affect a custom plugin relying on those parameters.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-758]

6.5 relay_only parameter in check_remote_datareader is not Populated

When calling check_remote_datareader, Connext DDS does not set the relay_only parameter. While this issue has no impact on the DDS Security builtin plugins, it could affect a custom plugin relying on this parameter.

[RTI Issue ID SEC-852]

6.6 Possible Valgrind Still-Reachable Leaks when Loading Dynamic Libraries

If you load any dynamic libraries, you may see "still reachable" memory leaks in dlopen and dlclose. These leaks are a result of a bug in Valgrind (https://bugs.launchpad.net/ubuntu/+source/valgrind/+bug/1160352).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1026]

6.7 'Allow Rule' Patterns Incorrectly do not Allow Subset Patterns in QoS

In the Permissions Document, an <allow_rule> that has a pattern partition other than * (e.g., P*) incorrectly does not allow creation of an entity whose PartitionQosPolicy contains a regular expression pattern that is a subset of that <allow_rule> (e.g., P1*). This problem only affects Security Plugins 6.1.0 and above.
The workaround is to change the `<allow_rule>`'s pattern partition to exactly match the pattern partition in the QoS (e.g., change `P*` to `P1*`).

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1242]

### 6.8 Example Identity Certificates have Incorrect Values for Issuer Fields

In `rti_workspace/6.1.0/eddsa01/identities/eddsa01Peer01Cert.pem`, the plain text of the example identity certificates contain incorrect values for their `Issuer` fields. For example, in `rti_workspace/6.1.0/eddsa01/identities/eddsa01Peer01Cert.pem`:

```
Issuer: C = US, ST = CA, O = Real Time Innovations, emailAddress = meEdsa@rti.com, CN = dtlsexampleEdsa
```

is incorrect because the `Issuer` should not be the same as the `Subject`. The root cause of this problem is this OpenSSL bug: [https://github.com/openssl/openssl/issues/16080](https://github.com/openssl/openssl/issues/16080). This problem only affects `Security Plugins 6.1.0` and above.

To identify the correct issuer, you may run a command similar to the following:

```
openssl x509 -noout -issuer -in eddsa01Peer01Cert.pem
```

[RTI Issue ID SEC-1405]

### 6.9 FlatData in Combination with Payload Encryption and/or Compression will not Save Copies

*RTI FlatData™ language binding* offers a reduced number of end-to-end copies when sending a sample (from four to two), providing improved latency for large data samples. (See the "FlatData Language Binding" section in the *RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries User's Manual*.) When used with payload encryption and/or payload compression, however, there are no savings in the number of copies. (See the section "Interactions with RTI Security Plugins and Compression" in the "Using FlatData Language Binding" section of the *RTI Connext DDS Core Libraries User's Manual*.) In future releases, other copies currently being made can potentially be optimized out in order to reduce the number of copies when using FlatData in combination with security and compression.

[RTI Issue ID CORE-11262]